

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,181	10/23/2001	Martin Weibrecht	DE 000186	8961
24737	737 7590 02/04/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			NGUYEN, KEVIN M	
	P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2674	17)
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/200	4 /0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· Advisory Action	10/040,181	WEIBRECHT ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin M. Nguyen	2674			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 11/23/2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	* /- \				
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li> <li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eparate, timely filed amendment			
i. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:	Marchen (. Bel	La "			
	MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAI TECHNOLOGY CENTER 26	Kevin M. Nguyen			
6. Patent and Trademark Office	I EQUIAOPORT OF LATER A				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 raises new limitation "...a three-dimensional space having increasing shades of gray...".